### Program
TensarSoil (and TensarSlope)

### Topic
Definition of horizontal loads in TensarSoil (and TensarSlope) and the effect of setting "Temporary" or "Permanent"

### Question
TensarSoil Version 2.09.04 (and TensarSlope Version 1.16.03) has the facility to set horizontal loads to TEMP or PERM (temporary or permanent) using a toggle below the load value (see input window below). What is the difference between and effect of these two settings?

#### Discussion
The assignment of TEMP or PERM to the horizontal load (H load) will have the same result as with normal vertical surcharges. If TEMP is set, then the H load will only be included when it has a negative impact on stability, i.e., it is unfavourable and contributes to the destabilising forces. H loads set outwards will always be destabilising. If set to PERM, then H loads will be included in all calculations.

However, in the case of limit-state design methods, this setting will also determine the partial load factor to be used in each load combination. There follows an example using the AS4678 2-part wedge limit-state design method. The basic wall arrangement is shown below, taken from a worked example, and the design is very efficient, so that critical wedges are just satisfactory in the case that there is no H load set:

An H load of 30 kN/m is added to the section above, using the parameters in the first image. Partial load factors of relevance in AS4678 are as follows:

- Static load case: unfavourable dead loads $\gamma_D = 1.25$, unfavourable live loads $\gamma_Q = 1.5$, and
- Seismic load case: unfavourable dead loads $\gamma_D = 1.25$, unfavourable live loads $\gamma_Q = 0.5$

(These load factors are for the static components of load in the seismic design case).

The effects of these partial load factors and the PERM or TEMP settings on the resulting stability are examined below. In AS4678 this is given by $R^*/S^*$, the ratio of factored resistance to factored driving force (same as CDR or capacity demand ratio in AASHTO/LRFD).
Static design case

This shows static internal and external stability results for the H load set to TEMP:

- Sliding $R*/S^*$ = 1.803, OK
- Bearing $R*/S^*$ = 3.449, A_OT, OK
- Eccentricity = 0.925 m, $<B/6 = 1.017$, B

Discussion:
The internal stability check for TEMP shows rather more red wedges indicating $R*/S^*$ below the target. This occurs because the partial load factor on live loads ($\gamma_Q = 1.5$) is greater than the partial load factor on dead loads ($\gamma_D = 1.25$).

The external stability check shows the same pattern for sliding on the base and eccentricity, for the same reasons. However, the bearing check is the same in both cases ($R*/S^* = 3.449$). The reason for this is that the H load is only included in the calculation of eccentricity in the bearing check, and in this case only unfactored loads are used to calculate this value which is required to determine the effective width for the bearing capacity calculation. In the subsequent calculation of $R*/S^*$ for bearing, the loads are factored, but these are only the vertical loads, and the H load has no vertical component, of course.

This shows static internal and external stability results for the H load set to PERM:

- Sliding $R*/S^*$ = 1.844, OK
- Bearing $R*/S^*$ = 3.449, A_OT, OK
- Eccentricity = 0.857 m, $<B/6 = 1.017$, B
This shows seismic (Kv down) internal and external stability results for the H load set to TEMP.

Discussion:
The internal stability check for TEMP shows no red wedges because the partial load factor on the H load is only 0.5 for live loads (γL = 0.5), whereas the PERM case shows some red wedges and lower angle pink wedges because the partial load factor on the H load is 1.25 for the static component of dead loads (γD = 1.25). However, the PERM case appears less critical than the static PERM case, because of lower conservatism for the seismic design case.  
NB: red wedges indicate that Load Combination “A” is critical (steeper wedges), whereas pink wedges indicate that Load Combination “B” is critical (lower angle wedges).

External stability does not include sliding on the base for seismic with Kv down, because seismic with Kv up will always be more critical for the sliding check. The bearing check is the same in both cases (R*/S* = 1.733), for the same reasons as discussed in the static check, but with a much lower value due to other factors affecting the seismic case. The eccentricity is greater for PERM for the same reasons as discussed for the internal stability check.
Seismic design case vertical component up

This shows seismic (K_v up) internal and external stability results for the H load set to TEMP

Discussion:
The internal stability check for TEMP shows some low angle pink wedges whereas the PERM case shows many more low angle pink wedges. This is again due to the partial load factor on TEMP loads being only 0.5 (γ_D = 0.5), compared to 1.25 for the static components of dead loads (γ_D = 1.25). The low angle wedges are more critical for K_v up, because the lower vertical force is reducing the stabilising frictional force on the base of these wedges.

For external sliding and eccentricity, PERM is more critical for the same reasons as for the low angle pink wedges, and again the bearing check is the same in both cases (R*/S* = 1.815), for the same reasons as discussed in the static check. R*/S* for bearing is higher for K_v up because the total downward forces applied in the bearing capacity check are lower.